

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/772,977	Applicant(s) YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Mark Gleason.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11, 32, 33 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 11 and 32 in order to better distinguish the claims over the prior art, and to amend claims 33 and 35 in order to obviate certain informalities. These amendments are reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment. Applicant's representative also agreed to electronically file the certificate required by 37 CFR 3.73(b) in response to the "NOTICE REGARDING POWER OF ATTORNEY" mailed 3/31/06.